

Exercise 4

Task 1

Consider the structure $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, s, 0)$. Use Gödel's β -function in order to formalize the following statements in predicate logic:

- (a) $x^y = z$ (use free variables x, y and z),
- (b) Fermat's Last Theorem,
- (c) Collatz conjecture.

Task 2

Show that the set of valid formulas of predicate logic is undecidable. Use a reduction to the Post correspondence problem for the proof.

Post correspondence problem (PCP)

Input: A sequence of pairs $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$, such that $k \geq 0, s_i, t_i \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$).

Question: Are there indices $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ($m \geq 1$) such that $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_m}$?

Hint: Let e be a 0-ary function symbol, f_0 and f_1 be unary function symbols and P denote a binary predicate symbol. Let $z = c_1 \dots c_\ell \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$ ($\ell \geq 0$) be a string and let t be a term. We define $f_z(t)$ as $f_{c_\ell}(\dots(f_{c_1}(t))\dots)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &= \bigwedge_{i=1}^k P(f_{s_i}(e), f_{t_i}(e)), \\ \phi_2 &= \forall v \forall w (P(v, w) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^k P(f_{s_i}(v), f_{t_i}(w))), \\ \phi_3 &= \exists z (P(z, z))\end{aligned}$$

and let $\phi = \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_3$. Show that ϕ is valid if and only if the corresponding instance $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$ of the post correspondence problem has a solution.