## Exercise 5

## Task 1

Does the algorithm "Median of the Medians" run in linear time, if one uses blocks of three or blocks of nine?

## Solution

- blocks of 3: $T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)+T\left(\frac{2 n}{3}\right)+c \cdot n$

The number of comparisons $T\left(\frac{2 n}{3}\right)$ (recursive step) is obtained like on slide 101.
We cannot use Master Theorem II, so it is not clear, whether $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ or not.

- blocks of 9: $T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{9}\right)+T\left(\frac{13 n}{18}\right)+c \cdot n$

The number of comparisons $T\left(\frac{13 n}{18}\right)$ (recursive step) is obtained like on slide 101.
Master Theorem II implies $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, since $\left(\frac{1}{9}+\frac{13}{18}\right)<1$.

## Task 2

Which of the following pairs is a subset system, respectively matroid?
(a) $(\{1,2,3\},\{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2,3\}\})$
(b) $(\{1,2,3\},\{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{2,3\}\})$
(c) $(E, U)$, where $E$ is a finite set and $U=\{A \subseteq E| | A \mid \leq k\}$ for a $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(d) $(E, U)$, where $E$ is a finite subset of a vector space (for instance $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ) and $U$ consists of all linearly independent subsets of $E$.

## Solution

Let $E$ be a finite set and $U \subseteq 2^{E}$.
A pair $(E, U)$ is a subset system, if $\emptyset \in U$ and $A \subseteq B \in U$ implies $A \in U$.
A subset system $(E, U)$ is a matroid, if for all $A, B \in U$ with $|A|<|B|$ there is an element $x \in B \backslash A$ such that $A \cup\{x\} \in U$.
(a) This is not a subset system, because $\{1,2,3\} \in U$ but $\{1,2\} \notin U$.
(b) This is a subset system. The exchange property for $|\emptyset|<|A|$ for all $A \in U$ is trivial, so we have three cases to check, since $|\{1\}|,|\{2\}|,|\{3\}|<|\{2,3\}|$. For $\{1\}$ and $\{2,3\}$ there is no $x \in\{2,3\} \backslash\{1\}=\{2,3\}$ such that $\{1\} \cup\{x\} \in U$, since $\{1,2\} \notin U$ and $\{1,3\} \notin U$. Therefore, this is not a matroid.
(c) This is a subset system:

- $\emptyset \in U$ because $\emptyset \subseteq E$ and $|\emptyset|=0 \leq k$.
- Let $B \in U$, so $B \subseteq E$ and $|B| \leq k$. Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$. Then $|A| \leq|B|$, hence $|A| \leq k$ and therefore $A \in U$.

This is a matroid: Let $A, B \in U$ with $|A|<|B|$. Since $|B| \leq k$ we have $|A|<k$, so for every $x \in E$ it holds by definition that $A \cup\{x\} \in U$. Choose any $y \in B \backslash A \neq \emptyset$, hence $A \cup\{y\} \in U$.
(d) This is a subset system, since $\emptyset$ is a linearly indipendent set and subsets of linearly indipendent sets are linearly indipendent. It is also a matriod: The exchange property follows from the exchange lemma of Steinitz (linear algebra).

## Task 3

Compute a spanning subtree of maximal weight using Kruskal's algorithm for the following graph:


How does the result change, when you want to compute a spanning subtree of minimal weight?

## Solution

We first sort the edges by their weights in decreasing order. To illustrate better what it yields, we show the graph one more time:


Kruskal's algorithm now takes greedily any heavy edge into the set $F$, such that $(V, F)$ has no cycles ( $V$ is just the vertex set from the original graph).
In the end the spanning subtree has the following edges: $F=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{6}, e_{7}, e_{8}, e_{9}\right\}$.


To compute a spanning subtree of minimal weight, we need to sort the edges in reversed order. After going through all the steps, we obtain (details: see exercise session)


Hence, the graph is $\left(V, F^{\prime}\right)$, where $F^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}, e_{10}\right\}$.

## Task 4

(a) Show that for each tree $T=(V, E)$ with $|V|>0$ we have $|E|=|V|-1$.
(b) Show that every finite connected graph has a spanning subtree.

## Solution

(a) We do an induction on $|V|$. In case $|V|=1$ it is clear that $|E|=0$. Now let $|V|>1$. Since $T$ has no cycles, there is a leaf in $T$, meaning there is a $v \in V$ with $\left|v_{E}\right|=1$, where $v_{E}=\left\{\{v, u\} \in V^{2} \mid\{v, u\} \in E\right\}$. So $\left|v_{E}\right|=1$ means that $v$ borders only one edge, which means that the node $u$ with $\{v, u\} \in E$ is the parent node of $v$. Let $T^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ with $V^{\prime} \backslash\{v\}$ and $E^{\prime}=E \backslash v_{E}$. This is a tree, since it is connected, because $T$ is connected and $v$ is a leaf, and it also has no cycles, since $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$ and $T$ has no cycles. Furthermore, $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=|V|-1$, so by induction hypothesis we obtain $\left|E^{\prime}\right|=\left|V^{\prime}\right|-1$. We have now proven that $|E|=\left|E^{\prime}\right|+1=\left|V^{\prime}\right|-1+1=\left|V^{\prime}\right|=|V|-1$.
(b) Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. If $G$ is a tree, $G$ is a spanning tree of $G$. Otherwise, choose an edge $e \in E$ that is on a cycle in $G$ and let $E^{\prime}=E \backslash\{e\}$. Now $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ is still connected and $E^{\prime} \subset E$. We set $G=G^{\prime}$ and iterate the above step. This algorithm terminates because $G$ is finite and we remove one edge in each step. Repeatedly removing edges on cycles in a finite graph eventually leads to a graph that has no cycles and is therefore a (spanning) subtree.

